Consolidated Income Tax Filing for Corporate Groups in Canada

Issue

The current approach to taxation of corporate groups in Canada ignores the commonality of ownership principles and requires that owners undertake costly and complicated planning to allow for consolidation or transfers of losses and/or credits between members of a common corporate group.

Several countries in the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (**OECD**) allow for taxation of corporate groups on a consolidated basis and it is past time for Canada to join its peers in this practice.

Background

"Every man is entitled, if he can, to order his affairs so that the tax attaching under the appropriate Acts is less than it otherwise would be."

- *The Duke of Westminster (1936)*[1]

As aptly put by the Duke, owners of corporations are, within the bounds of the legislation of the Income Tax Act, Canada, allowed to order their affairs to minimize the income tax that they would otherwise pay in the absence of planning for the resulting liability. Where closely held groups of companies are concerned, this often requires that the shareholders undertake complex loss consolidation transactions through financing arrangements, reorganizations, and transfers of property on a tax-deferred basis which will attract additional professional fees (legal and accounting) and may also attract additional costs associated with seeking specific rulings from the Department of Finance. From the Duke's perspective, the ability to arrange one's affairs exists, but achieving the goal of tax minimization is much more cumbersome than it needs to be.

Several jurisdictions within the OECD have legislation in place that will allow for the consolidation of corporate groups and the option to file as such, or to file an income tax return for each corporation independently. The following countries (all of which are members of the OECD) have the ability to order the income tax affairs of consolidated groups of companies subject to taxation in their respective countries on a joint and consolidated basis:

Austria	Luxembourg	Mexico	Netherlands
Poland	Portugal	Spain	France
Germany	Hungary	Italy	Japan

[1] *Inland Revenue Commissioners v. Duke of Westminster* [1936] A.C. 1; 19 TC 490.

Most significantly, our largest trading partner, the United States, also provides for the consolidated taxation of a corporate group. This option has been available to groups of corporations in the US who meet certain qualifying requirements since 1918 in recognition of the fact that, although many businesses achieve some of their objectives with multiple legal entities, the US Tax Code recognizes that the business entity is *singular*.

Foreign entities who make investment decisions will always consider not only the rates applied to business income earned in a jurisdiction but the level of complexity and burden of compliance in a target jurisdiction. As many of our economic contemporaries allow for a streamlined approach to tax filings for corporate groups, it is a safe assumption that, in respect of this consideration when investors choose where to invest, Canada does not fare as well as other competitive jurisdictions.

Recognizing that the cumbersome nature of tax compliance of a corporate group in Canada results in distinctly higher costs for Canadian business owners and also represents a drag on the competitiveness of Canadian business for foreign investment capital.

The Alberta Chambers of Commerce recommends that the Government of Canada and Department of Finance:

1. Immediately review the existing provisions within the Income Tax Act, Canada related to the taxation of Canadian corporate groups; and
2. Introduce legislation to allow income and loss transfers within associated corporate groups.